

Knoevenagel condensations of β -hemiacetyl esters

Pilho Kim, Marilyn M. Olmstead, Michael H. Nantz and Mark J. Kurth *
Department of Chemistry, University of California, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616-5295, USA
Received 15 February 2000; revised 4 April 2000; accepted 5 April 2000

Abstract

The intermolecular Knoevenagel condensation of β -hemiacetyl ester proceeds by an in situ unmasking of a reactive ω -hydroxy- β -keto ester giving unsaturated ω -hydroxy- β -keto esters **6–12** which accommodate acetal formation. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Knoevenagel condensation; acetal formation; eleuthesides.

In the course of studies directed toward total syntheses of eleuthesides (e.g. eleutherobin, Fig. 1), we have undertaken a model study of the Knoevenagel condensation of β -hemiacetyl esters. As outlined below, our total synthesis plan engages two novel Knoevenagel-related questions: (i) will this intramolecular condensation deliver a 10-membered carbocyclic ring in a process which proceeds by an in situ unmasking of a reactive ω -hydroxy- β -keto ester from an unreactive β -hemiacetyl ester; and (ii) will the resulting Knoevenagel product accommodate acetal formation. We point out that the Nicolaou group has successfully employed an intermolecular Knoevenagel condensation as a key step in their recently reported total synthesis of eleutherobin.

Fig. 1.

Given the central importance of this transformation in our synthetic planning, it seemed prudent to evaluate the potential of this transformation with a model study. As illustrated in Fig. 2, β -hemiacetyl

0040-4039/00/\$ - see front matter © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. *PII:* S0040-4039(00)00581-5

^{*} Corresponding author.

esters like 1 do not meet the typical prerequisites for application in the Knoevenagel condensation as the α -methylene is insufficiently activated for deprotonation with an amine base. On the other hand, one might expect that the dynamic equilibrium of the β -hemiacetyl ester to its corresponding ω -hydroxy- β -keto ester (2) form will invite amine-based deprotonation and subsequent Knoevenagel condensation to 3. In the Knoevenagel's 100+ year history,⁴ we are aware of only one report of a dynamically masked β -keto ester participating in this transformation (an intramolecular reaction leading to a cyclohexenone).⁵

The Claisen condensations of γ -butyrolactone (4; n=1) and δ -valerolactone (4; n=2) proceed smoothly (Scheme 1) with the lithium enolate of 'butyl acetate in THF to give 'butyl β -hemiacetyl esters 5 (5a and 5b, n=1 and 2 in 91 and 84% yield, respectively). Head 13C NMR analyses of these Claisen condensation products indicate that both the hemiacetyl and the keto form are present [cf. 5a (β -hemiacetyl form) and 5a (β -keto form) which are present in an \sim 65:35 ratio].

Scheme 1.

Treating ethanolic 5a with p-nitrobenzaldehyde and piperidine at reflux for 4 h gave the 'butyl ester of E-4-nitrocinnamic acid as the sole, isolable product (22% yield). This unexpected result suggested that the desired Knoevenagel reaction had indeed occurred, but the Knoevenagel product suffered an unexpected de-acylation reaction. However, and to our delight, treating an ethanolic solution of βhemiacetyl ester 5a or 5b with aromatic aldehydes and piperidine at room temperature for 4–12 h effected the desired Knoevenagel condensation without the unwanted de-acylation (note: the de-acylation product did begin to appear in the crude reaction mixture at extended reaction times). Under these mild conditions, Knoevenagel products were obtained as an E:Z mixture of olefin isomers where the Z-isomer was always predominant. Yields for these reactions were excellent for the tetrahydrofuranyl hemiacetals (6-9; 81-91%), but somewhat lower for the tetrahydropyranyl hemiacetals (10-12; 51-76%; Scheme 2). In all cases, these Knoevenagel products existed in the 'open' form (i.e. ω-hydroxy-β-keto ester) to the near exclusion of the corresponding hemiacetal form. Single crystal X-ray analysis of (E)-7 and (E)-9 confirmed E-olefin geometries for these products and, with this information secured, we found that the ¹³C NMR chemical shift of the ketone carbon in 6-12 allowed unambiguous assignment of olefin stereochemistries in this series. Finally, we have found that the isolated E- and Z-Knoevenagel products interconvert at room temperature when in solution. Thus, isolated Z-product (column chromatographic separation: SiO₂/EtOAc:hexanes::1:4, $Z-R_f < E-R_f$) equilibrated rather quickly ($\sim 1-2$ h) to an E/Zmixture, while isolated *E*-product equilibrated more slowly (~24 h).

With the success of this Knoevenagel condensation, we turned to the second question raised in Fig. 1: namely, will these unsaturated ω -hydroxy- β -keto esters accommodate acetal formation? As shown in Scheme 3, treating an E/Z-mixture of 7 (n=1) with acidic methanol at room temperature for 1 h⁸ smoothly

OHO Of Bu
$$\frac{RCHO, piperidine}{EtOH, r.t., 4-12 h}$$
 $5a: n = 1$
 $5b: n = 2$

HO

R

 $\frac{n}{R}$
 $\frac{R}{R}$
 $\frac{EZ}{R}$
 $\frac{yield}{R}$
 $\frac{E\delta C^*=O}{R}$
 $\frac{Z\delta C^*=O}{R}$
 $\frac{Z\delta C^*=O}{R}$
 $\frac{Z\delta C^*=O}{R}$
 $\frac{R}{R}$
 $\frac{EZ}{R}$
 $\frac{F}{R}$
 $\frac{F}{R}$

Scheme 2.

delivers the targeted unsaturated β-hemiacetyl ester Z-13⁹ (81%; established by NOESY 1D) together with trace amounts of E-14¹⁰ (established by NOESY 1D; E-14 becomes a significant product with longer reaction time: 8 h \rightarrow Z-13:E-14::4:6). An isolated solution of pure Z-13 (EtOAc/hexanes) slowly isomerizes to a Z-13/E-14 mixture upon standing at room temperature. The reaction of Knoevenagel product 10 (n=2) with acidic methanol (6 h, room temperature) produces only Z-15 (88%; established by NOESY 1D).¹¹

Scheme 3.

In summary, we have demonstrated that the intermolecular Knoevenagel condensation of β -hemiacetyl esters is feasible and that the resulting ω -hydroxy- β -keto esters accommodate acetal formation. Extension of this methodology to a total synthesis of eleutherobin is currently under study and the results will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgements

We thank the National Science Foundation for financial support of this research.

References

- 1. (a) Tietze, L. F.; Beifuss, U. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Vol. 2; Paquette, L. A., Ed.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1991; pp. 341–394. (b) Jones, G. *Organic Reactions*; Wiley: New York, 1967; Vol. 15, pp. 204–599.
- (a) Hamel, E.; Sackett, D. L.; Vourloumis, D.; Nicolaou, K. C. *Biochemistry* 1999, 38, 5490–5498.
 (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Xu, J. Y.; Kim, S.; Pfefferkorn, J.; Ohshima, T.; Vourloumis, D.; Hosokawa, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998, 120, 8661–8673.
 (c) Nicolaou, K. C.; van Delft, F.; Ohshima, T.; Vourloumis, D.; Xu, J.; Hosokawa, S.; Pfefferkorn, J.; Kim, S.; Li, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1997, 36, 2520–2524.
 (d) Nicolaou, K. C.; Xu, J. Y.; Kim, S.; Ohshima, T.; Hosokawa, S.; Pfefferkorn, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997, 119, 11 353–11 354.
- 3. The Danishefsky group has also reported the total synthesis of eleutherobin: (a) Chen, X.-T.; Bhattacharya, S. K.; Zhou, B. S.; Gutteridge, C. E.; Pettus, T. R. R.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6563-6579. (b) McDaid, H. M.; Bhattacharya, S. K.; Chen, X.-T.; He, L. F.; Shen, H. J.; Gutteridge, C. E.; Horwitz, S. B.; Danishefsky, S. J. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 1999, 44, 131–137. (c) Chen, X.-T.; Zhou, B. S.; Bhattacharya, S. K.; Gutteridge, C. E.; Pettus, T. R. R.; Danishefsky, S. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1998, 37, 789–792.
- 4. (a) Knoevenagel, E. Chem. Ber. 1894, 27, 2345–2346. (b) See also, Ref. 1.
- 5. Chrétien, F.; Khaldi, M.; Chapleur, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 5977–5980.
- 6. Duggan, A. J.; Adams, M. A.; Brynes, P. J.; Meinwald, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 19, 4323-4326.
- 7. Kobayashi, K.; Minakawa, H.; Sakurai, H.; Kujime, S.; Suginome, H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1993, 3007–3010.
- 8. Allen, P. R.; Brimble, M. A.; Fares, F. A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 2403–2411.
- 9. (*Z*)-13: R_f =0.68 (E/H=1/2); FTIR (KBr) 2978, 1719, 1520, 1344, 1151 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.42 (s, 9H), 1.90–2.37 (m, 4H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 4.01 (t, J=6.9 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (s, 1H), 7.50 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H), 8.16 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 24.3, 27.8, 39.0, 49.7, 68.5, 82.3, 107.7, 123.4, 127.5, 129.1, 140.2, 141.9, 147.1, 166.6. Anal. calcd for $C_{18}H_{23}NO_6$: C, 61.88; H, 6.64: N, 4.01. Found: C, 61.71; H, 6.74; N, 3.94.
- 10. (*E*)-14: R_f =0.50 (E/H=1/2); FTIR (KBr) 2978, 1693, 1519, 1344, 1102 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.32 (s, 9H), 2.09 (m, 2H), 3.15 (t, J=7.8 Hz, 2H), 3.41 (s, 3H), 4.15 (m, 1H), 4.30 (m, 1H), 5.60 (s, 1H), 7.52 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.12 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 23.9, 28.3, 31.8, 56.8, 72.2, 77.1, 80.1, 103.7, 122.8, 126.5, 146.3, 151.0, 166.7, 173.6. Anal. calcd for $C_{18}H_{23}NO_6$: C, 61.88; H, 6.64: N, 4.01. Found: C, 61.93; H, 6.67; N, 3.94.
- 11. (*Z*)-**15**: R_f =0.76 (E/H=1/2); FTIR (KBr) 2937, 1720, 1520, 1343, 1153 cm⁻¹; 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.16–2.07 (m, 6H), 1.42 (s, 9H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 3.60–3.85 (m, 2H), 6.77 (s, 1H), 7.52 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.16 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H); 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.0, 24.6, 27.8, 35.4, 49.2, 61.8, 82.3, 98.6, 123.5, 127.1, 129.0, 142.1, 142.2, 147.1, 166.6. Anal. calcd for $C_{19}H_{25}NO_6$: C, 62.80; H, 6.93: N, 3.85. Found: C 62.92; H, 6.96; N, 3.87.